



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,706	09/26/2006	Magnus Pelz	P/1228-213 V1305	9161
2352 7590 09/24/2009 OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403				
EXAMINER DUFF, DOUGLAS J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3748				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/24/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/598,706

Applicant(s)

PELZ ET AL.

Examiner

DOUGLAS J. DUFF

Art Unit

3748

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DOUGLAS J. DUFF.

(3) _____.

(2) George Brieger (US Req. No. 52652).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 4-7, 9 and 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Schonfeld et al. (US 5607010).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant directed Examiner's attention to Schonfeld reference on page 4 of Final Action. Examiner notes that Schonfeld was inadvertently typed as the third reference of the 103(a) rejection instead of the intended reference Kolb et al. (US 20050109484) as the citation suggested (Figures 2-6 and paragraph 8).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Douglas J Duff/
Examiner, Art Unit 3748

/Thomas E. Denion/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3748